Towards Understanding and Fixing Upstream Merge Induced Conflicts in Divergent Forks: An Industrial Case Study



Chungha Sung^{*}, Shuvendu K. Lahiri⁺, Mike Kaufman⁺, Pallavi Choudhury[†], Jessica Wolk[†], Chao Wang^{*} **University of Southern California* & Microsoft Corporation**⁺



Abstract

Divergent forks are a common practice in open-source software de velopment to perform long-term, independent and diverging develo pment on top of a popular source repository. However, keeping suc h divergent downstream forks in sync with the upstream source ev olution poses engineering challenges in terms of frequent merge c onflicts. In this work, we conduct the first industrial case study of f requent merges from upstream and the resulting merge conflicts, i n the context of Microsoft Edge development. The study consists of two parts. First, we describe the nature of merge conflicts that aris e due to merges from upstream. Second, we investigate the feasibi lity of automatically fixing a class of merge conflicts related to build breaks that consume a significant amount of developer time to root -cause and fix. Towards this end, we have implemented a tool Mrg BldBrkFixer and evaluate it on three months of real Microsoft Edge development data, and report encouraging results

Study of merge conflicts in divergent forks

Conflict	Details	# of commits	%
Textual	Textual-level conflict resolution	1183	53.3
Build Break	Fixes of ill-formed files	158	36.7
	- Stylelint fixes	(31)	
	- Parse error fixes	(19)	
	- Uncategorized	(108)	
	Build script file fixes (.js, .gn, .json, etc.)	259	
	Structural fixes in C++ files	398	
Test Failure	Macro fixes in unit test	169	9.9
	Flag file fixes	51	
Total		2218	

Our target

- Include Statement Update
- Entire Function Definition/Call Update
- Function Name Update
- Function Type/Specifier Update
- Function Parameter/Argument Update
- Function Parameter/Argument's Type Update
- Class/Namespace/Enum Reference Update

Divergent forks? Example Original project development (upstream) version N+2 version N+1 version N Merge conflicts Merge Textual merge fork Build break Test failure 0 5 0 0 🔊 🧐 🔇 🛇 version N'+2version N version N'+1

Independent development or customization (downstream)

"For each upstream induced build break, it takes at least 30 minutes to hours for developers to resolve. The main burden for the developers is they need to look up the history of upstream changes."

- A senior manager in the Microsoft Edge development team

Overview of automatic patch for build breaks



Uncategorized



AST-based patch inference









Look for Chromium changes



- More than 25,000 commits in **Chromium for 3 months**

Upstream commit pruning

More details can be found on our paper

"Towards Understanding and Fixing Upstream Merge Induced Conflicts in Divergent Forks: An Industrial Case Study", ICSE-SEIP